

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,192	09/24/2004	Nicholas Mark Turner Adams	15568.21	7407
22913 WORKMAN N	7590 02/26/200 IYDEGGER	EXAMINER		
•	MAN NYDEGGER &	JOYNER, KEVIN		
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111		1744	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 D	AYS	02/26/2007	PAF	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/509,192	ADAMS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin C. Joyner	1744			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication apport 	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	eptember 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 26-50 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
• —	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
-	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>26-50</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

Application/Control Number: 10/509,192

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 26-44, drawn to a method for decontaminating an enclosed space.
- II. Group II, claim(s) 45-50, drawn to an apparatus for decontaminating an enclosed space.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

As stated under PCT Rule 13, a group of inventions is considered linked to form a single general inventive concept where there is a technical relationship among the inventions that involves at least one common or corresponding special technical feature. The expression special technical feature is defined as meaning those technical features that define the contribution which each claimed invention, considered as a whole,

Art Unit: 1744

makes over the prior art. The single general inventive concept and special technical feature in the instant application comprises producing hydrogen peroxide/water vapour from an aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide, providing a heated airstream, flash evaporating the aqueous solution of hydrogen peroxide/water vapour from the supply into the airstream, and introducing the airstream carrying the hydrogen peroxide/water vapour into the atmosphere in the enclosed space to decontaminate the space, wherein the atmosphere in the enclosed space is recirculated and hydrogen peroxide/water vapour is flash evaporated into the recirculating atmosphere until the dewpoint is reached and condensation of hydrogen peroxide/water vapour on the surfaces of the enclosure takes place to decontaminate the surfaces. Schmidt (U.S. Patent No. 4, 863,688) and Skan (CH 689,178 A) disclose this special technical feature as denoted by the "X" reference on the International Search Report. Therefore, the single inventive concept cannot be considered a special technical feature because it does not make a contribution over the prior art. Consequently, the inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept.

2. Should the applicant elect Group I, then this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A. Directed to a method of decontamination wherein the solution contains 30-35% hydrogen peroxide and a balance of water.

B. Directed to a method of decontamination wherein the solution contains hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid and a balance of water.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
 - A. Species A, directed to claim 36
 - B. Species B, directed to claims 35 and 37.

The following claim(s) are generic: 26.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: See explanation

Application/Control Number: 10/509,192

Art Unit: 1744

regarding lack of a special technical feature in the claims in Section 1 of this office action.

4. A telephone call was made to Dana Tangren on February 8, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/509,192 Page 6

Art Unit: 1744

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Joyner whose telephone number is (571) 272-2709. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KCJ

GLADYS JP CORCORAN UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER